Nonsupersymmetric Attractors in String Theory

Prasanta Kumar Tripathy

Department of Physics IIT-Madras, India.

Seminar Presented at

Balkan Workshop (BW2007) Kladovo, Serbia.

September 5, 2007

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Outline

- Introduction and Motivation
- Attractor Mechanism and Supersymmetry
- Attractor Mechanism and Extrimality
- Nonsupersymmetric Attractors
- Examples
- Conclusion

 Refs. arXiv: hep-th/0511117 by Tripathy, Trivedi arXiv:0705.4554 [hep-th] by Nampuri, Tripathy, Trivedi

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

References

- Becker, Becker, Schwarz (Book).
- Ferrara, Kallosh, Strominger, hep-th/9508072.
- ▶ Gibbons, Kallosh, Kol, hep-th/9607018.
- Ferrara, Gibbons, Kallosh, hep-th/9702103.
- Denef, hep-th/0005049.
- ► Goldstein, lizuka, Jena, Trivedi, hep-th/0507096.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Kallosh et. al., Cardoso et.al. ...

Introduction and Motivation

- Black holes are solutions to Einstein's equations with a horizon. The thermodynamic interpretation of black holes suggests that they carry entropy.
- Bekenstein-Hawking formula

$$S = \frac{A}{4G} \; .$$

- String theory gives a microscopic description of the entropy of a black hole.
- In string theory, they correspond to branes wrapping various cycles of a Calabi-Yau manifold. Degeneracy of these microstates corresponding to these brane configurations give entropy of the black holes.

- Compactifications on Calabi-Yau spaces give rise to moduli. So the corresponding black hole solutions in supergravity should also depend on the moduli.
- In particular the entropy should depend on these moduli, which are continuous parameters!
- Attractor Mechanism gives the explanation to this puzzle.
- Most of the discussion in literature is on susy preserving black holes and the corresponding brane configurations. So we have consistent microscopic description of entropy for susy preserving black holes.

A D A D A D A D A D A D A D A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

What happens to the black holes which don't preserve any supersymmetry?

Attractor Mechanism

- Consider Einstein's theory coupled to a U(1) field.
 There are two possible vacuum configurations.
 - Flat Minkowski Vacuum.
 - $AdS_2 \times S^2$ (Bertotti-Robinson space).
- A black hole interpolate these two vacua.
- For, N = 2 supergravity theory in 4D coupled to 'n' vector multiplets, in addition to metric and gauge fields, we also have scalars.
- ► The scalar fields take arbitrary VEVs at the Minkowskin vacuum. At the AdS₂ × S² vacuum they flow to a fixed point (which is completely determined by the charges of the black hole). ⇒ Attractor!

In order to understand the attractor mechanism better analyse solutions to the spinor conditions (for a static, spherically symmetric black hole solution). The metric and gauge fields are

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U(r)}dt^2 + e^{-2U(r)}d\mathbf{r^2}$$

 $\hat{F}_r^{\Lambda} = \frac{p^{\Lambda}}{r^2}e^{U(r)}$.

The gravitino transformation law gives

$$\partial_{\rho}U = -\sqrt{f(p,x)}e^U, \rho = \frac{1}{r}.$$

The gaugino transformation law gives

$$\partial_{\rho} x^a = -\sqrt{g(p,x)} (x^a p^0 - p^a) \; .$$

- These equations were derived using special geometry.
 - It can be shown that they imply

 $\partial_{\rho}^2 x^a + h(p, x, \partial_{\rho} x) \partial_{\rho} x^a = 0$.

- ► This equation is independent of *U*.
- Can be viewed as a generalized geodesic equation which describe how x^a evolve as one moves into the core of the black hole.
- ► *x^a* will evolve until it runs into the fixed point

$$x_{\text{fixed}}^a = \frac{p^a}{p^0}$$
.

(Ferrara, Kallosh, Strominger)

Attractor Mechanism and Extrimality

Consider the Lagrangian

 $R + (\partial x^a)^2 + F^2 + F \wedge F \; .$

In static, spherically symmetric ansatz this reduces to

$$\left(\frac{dU}{dr}\right)^2 + g_{ab}\frac{dx^a}{dr}\frac{dx^b}{dr} + e^{2U}V(x, p, q)$$

In addition, we have the constraint

$$\left(\frac{dU}{dr}\right)^2 + g_{ab}\frac{dx^a}{dr}\frac{dx^b}{dr} - e^{2U}V(\phi, p, q) = 2ST$$

where S is the entropy and T is the temperature.

 Geometry as well as moduli are regular near horizon implies

$$\frac{A}{4\pi} = V(x_h^a, p, q) \; ,$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x^a}\right)_h = 0 \; .$$

(Ferrara, Gibbons, Kallosh).

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 </p

 Goldstein, lizuka, Jena, Trivedi obtained nonsusy attractors by doing a numerical analysis.

Nonsusy Attractors

- We will consider the type IIA compactification on a Calabi-Yau manifold at large volume. The low energy theory is N = 2 sugra coupled to n vector multiplets.
- It is described by the prepotential

$$F = D_{abc} \frac{X^a X^b X^c}{X^0} \; .$$

For convenience, define

 $D_{ab} = D_{abc}p^c , \ D_{ab}D^{bc} = \delta^c_a , \ D_a = D_{ab}p^b , \ D = D_ap^a .$

• We can have D0, D2, D4, D6 branes with charges q_0, q_a, p^a, p^0 respectively.

The Kähler potential and superpotential are derived from F using:

$$K = -\log\left[i\sum (X^a\partial_a F - X^a(\partial_a F)^*)\right]$$
$$W = \sum (q_a X^a - p^a\partial_a F) .$$

 The effective potential is given in terms of these quantities as

$$V = e^K \left[g^{aar b}
abla_a W \overline{
abla_b W} + |W|^2
ight] \; ,$$

where $\nabla_a W = \partial_a W + \partial_a K W$.

A regular horizon exists if

$$g^{bar{c}}
abla_a
abla_bW\overline{
abla_cW}+2
abla_aW\overline{W}\ +\partial_a g^{bar{c}}
abla_bW\overline{
abla_cW}=0 \;.$$

• We have susy solution if $\nabla_a W = 0$, else nonsusy.

Examples

► We will first consider the case when there are no D6 branes. This system can be reduced to the D0 – D4 system by a redefinition of the scalars X^a and the D0 charge q₀:

$$egin{array}{rcl} q_0 & o & q_0 - rac{1}{12} D^{ab} q_a q_b \ x^a & o & x^a + rac{1}{6} D^{ab} q_b \ . \end{array}$$

So we set p⁰ = q_a = 0 and also consider the ansatz x^a = p^at. Substituting it in the equation of motion we find

$$\frac{\partial t}{t}\left(q_0-Dt^2\right)\left(q_0+Dt^2\right)=0\;.$$

- The susy solution corresponds to the value $t = i\sqrt{\frac{q_0}{D}}$ with entropy $S = 2\pi\sqrt{Dq_0}$.
- ► The nonsusy solution corresponds to $t = i\sqrt{-\frac{q_0}{D}}$ with entropy $S = 2\pi\sqrt{-Dq_0}$.
- Susy solution is guaranteed to be stable. How can we make sure there are no tachyonic directions for the nonsusy case?
- Compute the eigen values of the mass matrix.
 - Set $x^a = ip^a t + \delta \xi^a + i \delta y^a$, and expand the potential.

 $S_{\text{mass}} = A_{ab} \left(\delta \xi^a \delta \xi^b + \delta y^a \delta y^b \right) + B_{ab} \left(\delta \xi^a \delta \xi^b - \delta y^a \delta y^b \right)$

► For susy solution A > 0 and B = 0, hence all the eigen values of the mass matrix are positive.

A D A D A D A D A D A D A D A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

For nonsusy solution

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_{ab} & = & 24q_0 e^{K_0} \left(D_{ab} - 3 \frac{D_a D_b}{D} \right) \; ; \\ B_{ab} & = & -24q_0 e^{K_0} D_{ab} \; . \end{array}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{mass}} &= 48q_0 e^{K_0} \left(D_{ab} - \frac{3D_a D_b}{2D} \right) \delta y^a \delta y^b \\ &+ 72 e^{K_0} \left(-\frac{q_0}{D} \right) D_a D_b \delta \xi^a \delta \xi^b \\ &= 32q_0^2 e^{K_0} g_{a\bar{b}} \delta y^a \delta y^b + 72 e^{K_0} \left(-\frac{q_0}{D} \right) D_a D_b \delta \xi^a \delta \xi^b \; . \end{split}$$

• Hence $D_a \delta \xi^a$ and all δy^a are massive. There are (n-1) mass less modes.

- Thus, in order to know whether we have a stable solution, we need to look at the quartic terms (which in general is quite complicated to evaluate).
- We may exploit the following invariances of the effective potential:
 - The GL(N, R) invariance

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x^a & \to & A^a_b x^b \ , \\ p^a & \to & A^a_b p^b \ , \\ q_a & \to & q_b \left(A^{-1}\right)^b_a \ , \\ D_{abc} & \to & D_{def} \left(A^{-1}\right)^d_a \left(A^{-1}\right)^e_b \left(A^{-1}\right)^f_c \ . \end{array}$$

Invariance under the transformation x^a ↔ -x̄^a. This tells only even powers of δξ^a appear in the expansion.

 The most general quadratic term allowed by this symmetry is

$$egin{aligned} V_{ ext{quadr}} &= \sqrt{-rac{D}{q_0}} \left(C_1 D_{ab} + C_2 rac{D_a D_b}{D}
ight) \delta \xi^a \delta \xi^b \ &+ \sqrt{-rac{D}{q_0}} \left(C_3 D_{ab} + C_4 rac{D_a D_b}{D}
ight) \delta y^a \delta y^b \,. \end{aligned}$$

We can find the coefficients C_i by comparing it with STU model, which has a prepotential

$$F = -\frac{X^1 X^2 X^3}{X^0} \; .$$

This gives

$$C_1 = 0, C_2 = -9, C_3 = 6, C_4 = -9.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

We can similarly obtain the cubic terms.

$$egin{aligned} V_{ ext{cubic}} &=& rac{1}{q_0}igg(C_1DD_{abc}+C_2D_{ab}D_c\ &+& C_3D_aD_{bc}+C_4rac{D_aD_bD_c}{D}igg)\,\delta\xi^a\delta\xi^b\delta y^c \;. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with STU we find

$$C_1 = 3, C_2 = -9, C_3 = 18, C_4 = 27.$$

Similarly the quartic term can be found to be

$$V_4 = -\frac{9}{2D} \left(-\frac{D}{q_0}\right)^{3/2} \left(D_{ab}\delta\xi^a\delta\xi^b\right)^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

The potential is of the form

$$V=V_0+rac{1}{2}M^2\Phi^2+\lambda_1\phi^2\Phi+\lambda_2\phi^4~.$$

Integrating out the massive field, we obtain

$$V_{ ext{quartic}} = \left(\lambda_2 - rac{\lambda_1^2}{2M^2}
ight) \phi^4 \; .$$

Using similar steps we can find the quartic terms

$$V_{\text{quartic}} = \frac{9}{4D} \left(\frac{-D}{q_0}\right)^{3/2} \left[-\left(D_{lm}\delta\xi^l\delta\xi^m\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{-D}{q_0}\right) \left(g^{a\bar{b}}D_{alm}\delta\xi^l\delta\xi^m D_{bpq}\delta\xi^p\delta\xi^q\right)\right]$$

► Two competing terms with opposite sign.

In the D0 − D4 − D6 case the non-susy extremum is located at, x^a = x^a₀ = p^a(t₁ + it₂). The values of t₁, t₂ are determined by the charges. It is useful to define a variable s > 0 given by,

$$s = \sqrt{(p^0)^2 - \frac{4D}{q_0}}.$$

The two branches correspond to $|s/p^0| < 1$ and $|s/p^0| > 1$ respectively. t_1 is given by

$$t_{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{s} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{p^{0}}{s}\right)^{1/3} - \left(1 - \frac{p^{0}}{s}\right)^{1/3}}{\left(1 + \frac{p^{0}}{s}\right)^{4/3} + \left(1 - \frac{p^{0}}{s}\right)^{4/3}} & \left|\frac{s}{p^{0}}\right| > 1\\ \frac{2}{p^{0}} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{s}{p^{0}}\right)^{1/3} + \left(1 + \frac{s}{p^{0}}\right)^{1/3}}{\left(1 - \frac{s}{p^{0}}\right)^{4/3} + \left(1 + \frac{s}{p^{0}}\right)^{4/3}} & \left|\frac{s}{p^{0}}\right| < 1 \end{cases}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

▶ The expression for *t*² is given by:

$$t_{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{4s}{(s^{2} - (p^{0})^{2})^{1/3} \left((s + p^{0})^{4/3} + (s - p^{0})^{4/3}\right)} & \left|\frac{s}{p^{0}}\right| > 1\\ \frac{4s}{((p^{0})^{2} - s^{2})^{1/3} \left((|p^{0}| + s)^{4/3} + (|p^{0}| - s)^{4/3}\right)} & \left|\frac{s}{p^{0}}\right| < 1\end{cases}$$

For D0 − D6 system we need to take p^a → 0. In this limit (in the |s/p⁰| < 1 branch)</p>

$$t_1 = rac{2}{p^0} \; , t_2 = \left(-rac{q_0}{D|p^0|}
ight)^{1/3} \; .$$

• Hence we have a (n-1) dimensional moduli space:

$$D_{abc} y^a y^b y^c = -q_0/|p^0|$$
.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

Conclusion

- For N = 2 sugra coupled to n vector multiplets, scalars run into a fixed point at the horizon.
- This is a consequence of extrimality. Thus nonsusy attractors also exist.
- For *IIA* on Calabi-Yau, we have exact solution for D0 − D4 − D6 system. The D0 − D4 system has (n − 1) mass less fields. They have quartic terms and depending on the charges we may or may not have stable solutions.
- For (D0 − D6) system we have a (n − 1) dimensional moduli space.